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## Markov automaton

## Markov automaton

$\mathcal{A}=(\mathcal{S}, A, D, \cdot)$, where

- $\mathcal{S}$ is a finite state space;
- $A$ is a finite alphabet (the set of events);
- $D$ is a probability distribution over $A$;
- $\cdot: \mathcal{S} \times A \rightarrow \mathcal{S} ;(s, a) \mapsto s \cdot a$ is an action by the letters of $A$ on the states of $\mathcal{S}$.
$u[i]$ : prefix of $u$ of length $i$. For $S \subseteq \mathcal{S}, S \cdot a=\{s \cdot a \mid s \in S\}$.
Bounding chain: $S \cdot a \subseteq S \circ a$

$D(a)=D(b)=D(c)=1 / 3$
Markov chain generated by $\mathcal{A}$ : let $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and $u \sim D^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$.

$$
X_{n}(s)=s \cdot u[i] .
$$

## Coupling in Markov automata

## Grand coupling

$$
\mathcal{X}=(X(s))_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \quad \mathcal{X}_{i}=\mathcal{S} \cdot u[i] .
$$

## Coupling word

$u$ such that $|\mathcal{S} \cdot u|=1$.
Example: bb
If there exists a coupling word, then the algorithm terminates with probability 1.

## Coupling from the past

## Algorithm 1: Coupling from the past

for $s \in \mathcal{S}$ do $S(s) \leftarrow s$ repeat
Draw a $\sim D$;
for $s \in \mathcal{S}$ do $T(s) \leftarrow S(s \cdot a)$;
$S \leftarrow T$;
until $|S(\mathcal{S})|=1$;
return the element of $S(\mathcal{S})$

- $\tau_{b}$ is the backward coupling time (number of steps)
- If $\tau$ is the (forward) coupling of the chain, then $\tau_{\text {mix }} \leq \mathbb{E}[\tau]=\mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{b}\right]$

$$
t_{\text {mix }}=\min \left\{i \mid \max _{x \in \mathcal{S}}\left\|\rho_{i}(x)-\pi\right\|_{T V} \leq 1 / 4\right\}
$$

with $\|\rho-\pi\|_{T V}=\max _{B \subseteq \mathcal{S}}|\rho(B)-\pi(B)|$.

## (1) Model: Markov automaton

## (2) Oracle skipping



4 Application to Jackson networks

- Tandem of two queues
- Performances


## Active and passive events

## Let $B \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ and $a \in A$.

## Active event

The event $a$ is active for $B$ if $B \circ a \neq B$.

## Passive event

The event $a$ is passive for $B$ if $B \circ a=B$.


| $S$ | active | passive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\{1,2,3\}$ | $b$ | $a, c$ |
| $\{1,2\}$ | $a, b$ | $c$ |
| $\{2,3\}$ | $b, c$ | $a$ |
| $\{1\}$ | $b, c$ | $a$ |
| $\{2\}$ | $a, c$ | $b$ |
| $\{3\}$ | $a, b$ | $c$ |

## Active and passive events

## Let $B \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ and $a \in A$.

## Active event

The event $a$ is active for $B$ if $B \circ a \neq B$.

## Passive event

The event $a$ is passive for $B$ if $B \circ a=B$.


## New distribution $D_{i}$ at step $i$ <br> $P_{D_{i}}\left(u_{i}=a\right)=P_{D}\left(u_{i}=a \mid a\right.$ is active $)$

In state $\{1,2\}, P(a)=1 / 2, P(b)=1 / 2$ and $P(c)=0$.

## Hard on CFTP



## Hard on CFTP



## Hard on CFTP



## Special symbol $\#$

Let $A_{\sharp}=A \cup\{\sharp\}$.

- The new symbol $\sharp$ has no effect: $\forall B \subseteq \mathcal{S}, B \cdot \sharp=B$.
- If $D$ is a distribution over $A$ and $p \in(0,1)$, then $D_{p}$ is a distribution over $A_{\sharp}$ such that
- $D_{\rho}(\nexists)=p$
- and $D_{p}(a)=(1-p) D(a)$.
$\sharp$ is always considered as active:
- $A c t_{B}=\{a \in A \mid B \circ a \neq B\} \cup\{\sharp\}$
- Pas $_{B}=\{a \in A \mid B \circ a=B\}$


## Collapsing a word $=$ removing its inactive letters

Let $u \in A^{n}, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$ and $A c t_{i}=A^{c t} t_{\mathcal{S} \circ u[i]}$.
$c(u)=u_{\phi(1)} \cdot u_{\phi(2)} \cdots u_{\phi(\ell)}$, where

- $\phi(i)=\min \left\{j>\phi(i-1) \mid u_{j} \in \operatorname{Act}_{\phi(i-1)}\right\}$ and $\phi(0)=0$;
- $\ell=\min \left\{i \mid \forall j \in[\phi(i)+1, k], u_{j} \in \operatorname{Pas}_{\phi(i)}\right\}$

The collapsing is idempotent; $c(u)$ is called a collapsed word.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=a a c b c a c a a c a c b \\
& c(u)=
\end{aligned}
$$

## Collapsing a word $=$ removing its inactive letters
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Lemma

$$
c(u \cdot v)=c(u) \cdot c^{S o u}(v)
$$

$u=$ aacbcacaacacb
$c(u)=$
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## Collapsing a word $=$ removing its inactive letters
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$c(u)=u_{\phi(1)} \cdot u_{\phi(2)} \cdots u_{\phi(\ell)}$, where

- $\phi(i)=\min \left\{j>\phi(i-1) \mid u_{j} \in \operatorname{Act}_{\phi(i-1)}\right\}$ and $\phi(0)=0$;
- $\ell=\min \left\{i \mid \forall j \in[\phi(i)+1, k], u_{j} \in \operatorname{Pas}_{\phi(i)}\right\}$

The collapsing is idempotent; $c(u)$ is called a collapsed word.


Lemma

$$
c(u \cdot v)=c(u) \cdot c^{\mathcal{S} \circ u}(v)
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$u=$ aacbcacaacacb
$c(u)=b a c c a b$
Act $=\{a, c\}$

## p-expansion of a word

Let $v=v_{1} \cdots v_{\ell} \in A^{\ell}$. The $p$-expansion of $v$ is

$$
e_{p}(v)=w_{0} v_{1} w_{1} \cdots w_{\ell-1} v_{\ell}
$$

where $w_{i} \in A^{*}$ and

- $\left|w_{i}\right| \sim \mathcal{G e o}\left(p_{A c t_{i}}\right)-1$
- the letters of $w_{i}$ are i.i.d according to the distribution of the passive letters $D_{P_{\text {as }}^{i}}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=b a c c a b \\
& e_{p}(u)=
\end{aligned}
$$

## $p$-expansion of a word

Let $v=v_{1} \cdots v_{\ell} \in A^{\ell}$. The $p$-expansion of $v$ from $B$ is

$$
e^{B}{ }_{p}(v)=w_{0} v_{1} w_{1} \cdots w_{\ell-1} v_{\ell}
$$

where $w_{i} \in A^{*}$ and

- $\left|w_{i}\right| \sim \mathcal{G e o}\left(p_{A c B_{i}}\right)-1$
- the letters of $w_{i}$ are i.i.d according to the distribution of the passive letters $D_{P_{\text {as }}{ }_{i}}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=b a c c a b \\
& e_{p}(u)=
\end{aligned}
$$

## p-expansion of a word

Let $v=v_{1} \cdots v_{\ell} \in A^{\ell}$. The $p$-expansion of $v$ is
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e_{p}(v)=w_{0} v_{1} w_{1} \cdots w_{\ell-1} v_{\ell}
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where $w_{i} \in A^{*}$ and

- $\left|w_{i}\right| \sim \mathcal{G e o}\left(p_{A c t_{i}}\right)-1$
- the letters of $w_{i}$ are i.i.d according to the distribution of the passive letters $D_{P_{\text {as }}^{i}}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=b a c c a b \\
& e_{p}(u)=
\end{aligned}
$$

## $p$-expansion of a word

Let $v=v_{1} \cdots v_{\ell} \in A^{\ell}$. The $p$-expansion of $v$ is

$$
e_{p}(v)=w_{0} v_{1} w_{1} \cdots w_{\ell-1} v_{\ell}
$$

where $w_{i} \in A^{*}$ and

- $\left|w_{i}\right| \sim \mathcal{G e o}\left(p_{A c t_{i}}\right)-1$
- the letters of $w_{i}$ are i.i.d according to the distribution of the passive letters $D_{P_{\text {as }}^{i}}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=b a c c a b \\
& e_{p}(u)=c a \\
& A c t=\{b\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $p$-expansion of a word

Let $v=v_{1} \cdots v_{\ell} \in A^{\ell}$. The $p$-expansion of $v$ is

$$
e_{p}(v)=w_{0} v_{1} w_{1} \cdots w_{\ell-1} v_{\ell}
$$

where $w_{i} \in A^{*}$ and

- $\left|w_{i}\right| \sim \mathcal{G e o}\left(p_{A c t_{i}}\right)-1$
- the letters of $w_{i}$ are i.i.d according to the distribution of the passive letters $D_{P_{\text {as }}^{i}}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=b a c c a b \\
& e_{p}(u)=c a b \\
& \text { Act }=\{a, b\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $p$-expansion of a word

Let $v=v_{1} \cdots v_{\ell} \in A^{\ell}$. The $p$-expansion of $v$ is

$$
e_{p}(v)=w_{0} v_{1} w_{1} \cdots w_{\ell-1} v_{\ell}
$$

where $w_{i} \in A^{*}$ and

- $\left|w_{i}\right| \sim \mathcal{G e o}\left(p_{A c t_{i}}\right)-1$
- the letters of $w_{i}$ are i.i.d according to the distribution of the passive letters $D_{P_{\text {as }}^{i}}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=b a c c a b \\
& e_{p}(u)=c a b a \\
& \text { Act }=\{a, b, c\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $p$-expansion of a word

Let $v=v_{1} \cdots v_{\ell} \in A^{\ell}$. The $p$-expansion of $v$ is

$$
e_{p}(v)=w_{0} v_{1} w_{1} \cdots w_{\ell-1} v_{\ell}
$$

where $w_{i} \in A^{*}$ and

- $\left|w_{i}\right| \sim \mathcal{G e o}\left(p_{A c t_{i}}\right)-1$
- the letters of $w_{i}$ are i.i.d according to the distribution of the passive letters $D_{P_{\text {as }}^{i}}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=b a c c a b \\
& e_{p}(u)=c a b a c \\
& \text { Act }=\{b, c\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $p$-expansion of a word

Let $v=v_{1} \cdots v_{\ell} \in A^{\ell}$. The $p$-expansion of $v$ is

$$
e_{p}(v)=w_{0} v_{1} w_{1} \cdots w_{\ell-1} v_{\ell}
$$

where $w_{i} \in A^{*}$ and

- $\left|w_{i}\right| \sim \mathcal{G e o}\left(p_{A c t_{i}}\right)-1$
- the letters of $w_{i}$ are i.i.d according to the distribution of the passive letters $D_{P_{\text {as }}^{i}}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=b a c c a b \\
& e_{p}(u)=c a b a c a a \\
& \text { Act }=\{b, c\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $p$-expansion of a word

Let $v=v_{1} \cdots v_{\ell} \in A^{\ell}$. The $p$-expansion of $v$ is

$$
e_{p}(v)=w_{0} v_{1} w_{1} \cdots w_{\ell-1} v_{\ell}
$$

where $w_{i} \in A^{*}$ and

- $\left|w_{i}\right| \sim \mathcal{G e o}\left(p_{A c t_{i}}\right)-1$
- the letters of $w_{i}$ are i.i.d according to the distribution of the passive letters $D_{P_{a s}}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=b a c c a b \\
& e_{p}(u)=c a b a c a a c \\
& \text { Act }=\{a, b, c\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $p$-expansion of a word

Let $v=v_{1} \cdots v_{\ell} \in A^{\ell}$. The $p$-expansion of $v$ is

$$
e_{p}(v)=w_{0} v_{1} w_{1} \cdots w_{\ell-1} v_{\ell}
$$

where $w_{i} \in A^{*}$ and

- $\left|w_{i}\right| \sim \mathcal{G e o}\left(p_{A c t_{i}}\right)-1$
- the letters of $w_{i}$ are i.i.d according to the distribution of the passive letters $D_{P_{\text {as }}^{i}}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=b a c c a b \\
& e_{p}(u)=c a b a c a a c a \\
& \text { Act }=\{a, b\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $p$-expansion of a word

Let $v=v_{1} \cdots v_{\ell} \in A^{\ell}$. The $p$-expansion of $v$ is

$$
e_{p}(v)=w_{0} v_{1} w_{1} \cdots w_{\ell-1} v_{\ell}
$$

where $w_{i} \in A^{*}$ and

- $\left|w_{i}\right| \sim \mathcal{G e o}\left(p_{A c t_{i}}\right)-1$
- the letters of $w_{i}$ are i.i.d according to the distribution of the passive letters $D_{P_{\text {as }}^{i}}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=b a c c a b \\
& e_{p}(u)=c a b a c a a c a c c c \\
& \text { Act }=\{a, b\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $p$-expansion of a word

Let $v=v_{1} \cdots v_{\ell} \in A^{\ell}$. The $p$-expansion of $v$ is

$$
e_{p}(v)=w_{0} v_{1} w_{1} \cdots w_{\ell-1} v_{\ell}
$$

where $w_{i} \in A^{*}$ and

- $\left|w_{i}\right| \sim \mathcal{G e o}\left(p_{A c t_{i}}\right)-1$
- the letters of $w_{i}$ are i.i.d according to the distribution of the passive letters $D_{P_{\text {as }}^{i}}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=b a c c a b \\
& e_{p}(u)=c a b a c a a c a c c c b \\
& \text { Act }=\{a, c\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Expansion of a collapsed word

Lemma
Let $u \in\left(A^{\sharp}\right)^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $u \sim D_{\rho}^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$. Then $e_{\rho}(c(u)) \sim D_{\rho}^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$.
Applying $e_{p}$ to a collapsed word corresponds to what the word could have been before it was collapsed. It does not change the bounding state reached at the end.

## Lemma

Let $u \in\left(A^{\sharp}\right)^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $u \sim D_{P}^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$, and $u^{\sharp}$ be the word truncated after the first occurrence of $\sharp$. Call $G_{p}$ the distribution of $u^{\sharp}$. Then

$$
e_{\rho}\left(c\left(u^{\sharp}\right)\right) \sim G_{p} .
$$

$\sharp$ is always an active letter, so the occurrences of $\sharp$ are preserved in $u$ and $e_{p}(c(u))$

## $\mathcal{G}$-expansion of a word

$G_{p}$ : distribution of a word according to $D_{p}^{\otimes}$ truncated after the first occurrence of $\#$.
Let $u=u^{n} \cdots u^{2} u^{1}$ a word such that

- the $u_{m}$ are mutually independent
- $u_{m} \sim G_{2^{-m}}$.

We denote by $\mathcal{G}_{n}$ the distribution of such a word.

- A word distributed according $\mathcal{G}_{n}$ has exactly $n$ symbols $\sharp$ and ends with $\sharp$.
- It can be decomposed in a unique way into $u^{1}, \ldots, u^{n}$ respectively distributed according $G_{2^{-1}}, \ldots, G_{2^{-n}}$.


## $\mathcal{G}$-expansion of a word

$\mathcal{G}$-expanded word: Let $v=u^{n} \cdots u^{1} \sim \mathcal{G}_{n}$.

$$
e_{\mathcal{G}}(v)=e_{2^{-n}}^{B_{n}}\left(u^{n}\right) \cdots e_{2^{-m}}^{B_{m}}\left(u^{m}\right) \cdots e_{1 / 2}^{B_{1}}\left(u^{1}\right)
$$

with $B_{m}=\mathcal{S} \circ u_{n} \cdots u_{m+1}$.

## Lemma

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u \sim \mathcal{G}_{n} \Rightarrow e_{\mathcal{G}}(c(u)) \sim \mathcal{G}_{n} . \\
& c(u \cdot v)=c(u) \cdot c^{\mathcal{S} \circ u}(v)
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{\mathcal{G}}(c(u)) & =e_{\mathcal{G}}\left(c^{B_{n}}\left(u^{n}\right) \cdots c^{B_{m}}\left(u^{m}\right) \cdots c^{B_{1}}\left(u_{1}\right)\right. \\
& =e_{2^{-n}}^{B_{n}}\left(c^{B_{n}}\left(u^{n}\right)\right) \cdots e_{2^{-m}}^{B_{m}}\left(c^{B_{m}}\left(u^{m}\right)\right) \cdots e_{1 / 2}^{B_{1}}\left(c^{B_{1}}\left(u^{1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## (1) Model: Markov automaton

## (2) Oracle skipping

## (3) Main result

(4) Application to Jackson networks

- Tandem of two queues
- Performances


## Main theorem

We define the words $w^{\circ}=\epsilon$ and $w^{n+1} \sim c\left(u^{n+1} e_{\mathcal{G}}\left(w^{n}\right)\right)$. For all $n, w^{n} \sim \mathcal{G}_{n}$.

## Theorem

If a Markov automaton $\mathcal{A}$ is coupling, then

$$
P\left(\exists n \in \mathbb{N}\left|\left|\mathcal{S} \circ w^{n}\right|=1\right)=1\right.
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\min \left\{n \in \mathbb{N}\left|\left|\mathcal{S} \circ w^{n}\right|=1\right\}\right]<\infty .\right.
$$

Moreover, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left|\mathcal{S} \circ w^{n}\right|=1$, then the unique element of $\mathcal{S} \circ w^{n}$ is distributed according to the stationary distribution $\pi$ of $\mathcal{A}$.

## Algorithm

Algorithm 2: CFTP with oracle skipping
$n \leftarrow 0 ; w \leftarrow \epsilon$;
repeat
$n \leftarrow n+1 ; m \leftarrow n-1 ;$
generate $u \sim c\left(G_{2^{-n}}\right)$;
Act ${ }^{\text {old }} \leftarrow \mathcal{S}$; Act $\leftarrow \operatorname{Act}_{\mathcal{S} \circ u^{n}}$;
while $w \neq \epsilon$ do
Draw $a \sim D_{2^{-m}}\left(A c t \cup A c t^{\text {old }}\right)$;
if $a \in A c t^{\text {old }}$ then
$u \leftarrow u w_{1}$;

$$
\text { if } w_{1}=\sharp \text { then } m \leftarrow m-1
$$

Update Act ${ }^{\text {old }}$ and Act each time $w$ or $u$ are updated.

## Difficulty

Draw a such that $a$ is active for either $u$ or $w$.
else $u \leftarrow u a$;
$w \leftarrow u$
until $|\mathcal{S} \circ w|=1$;

## Proof

With $w^{\circ}=\epsilon$ and $w^{n+1} \sim c\left(u^{n+1} e_{\mathcal{G}}\left(w^{n}\right)\right)$.
(1) Convergence: There exists a coupling word $u$ with $|u|=k$.

$$
P\left(u^{i} \text { contains } u\right) \geq \frac{1}{2^{|u|}} P_{u}
$$

## Proof

With $w^{\circ}=\epsilon$ and $w^{n+1} \sim c\left(u^{n+1} e_{\mathcal{G}}\left(w^{n}\right)\right)$.
(1) Convergence: There exists a coupling word $u$ with $|u|=k$.

$$
P\left(u^{i} \text { contains } u\right) \geq \frac{1}{2^{|u|}} P_{u}
$$

(2) Invariance: The state obtained after coupling does not change if the algorithm is started from further in the past.

$$
\mathcal{S} \circ w^{n+1} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \circ w^{n}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} \circ w^{k+1} & =\mathcal{S} \circ c\left(u^{k+1} \cdot e_{p}\left(w^{k}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathcal{S} \circ u^{k+1} \circ e_{p}\left(w^{k}\right) \\
& \subseteq \mathcal{S} \circ e_{p}\left(w^{k}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{S} \circ w^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof

With $w^{\circ}=\epsilon$ and $w^{n+1} \sim c\left(u^{n+1} e_{\mathcal{G}}\left(w^{n}\right)\right)$.
(1) Convergence: There exists a coupling word $u$ with $|u|=k$.

$$
P\left(u^{i} \text { contains } u\right) \geq \frac{1}{2^{|u|}} P_{u}
$$

(2) Invariance: The state obtained after coupling does not change if the algorithm is started from further in the past.

$$
\mathcal{S} \circ w^{n+1} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \circ w^{n}
$$

(3) Convergence to the stationary distribution: same as in the classical proof
(4) Application to Jackson networks

- Tandem of two queues
- Performances


## Lower bound on the mixing time of a Jackson network



Theorem
Let $q$ be a queue. The mixing time $t_{\text {mix }}$ of the automaton satisfy

$$
t_{\text {mix }} \geq \frac{C(q)}{8 \max \left(p_{q}, r_{q}\right)},
$$

where $p_{q}=\sum_{q^{\prime}} D\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)$ and $r_{q}=\sum_{q^{\prime}} D_{q^{\prime}, q}$.

## Coupling in a Jackson network

A queue that has coupled can uncouple.


## Proposition

In an acyclic Jackson network, if a queue couples when all its ancestors have coupled, it cannot uncouple.

Theorem (Coupling time of a single $M / M / 1 / C$ queue)
The expected number of events it takes a $M / M / 1 / C$ queue to couple is at most $\frac{C+C^{2}}{2}$.

## Coupling in a Jackson network

A queue that has coupled can uncouple.


## Proposition

In an acyclic Jackson network, if a queue couples when all its ancestors have coupled, it cannot uncouple.

Theorem (Coupling time of a single $M / M / 1 / C$ queue)
The expected number of events it takes a $M / M / 1 / C$ queue to couple is at most $\frac{C+C^{2}}{2}$.

## Coupling time of the first queue



Let $\tau_{1}$ be the coupling time of the first queue (no skipping)
Proposition (Coupling time of the first queue)
$\mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{1}\right]=C+C^{2}$
Let $Y$ be the embedded chain with only the arrivals and services of the first queue.

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{1}\right]=\frac{\lambda+\mu}{\lambda+2 \mu} \mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{Y}\right]=\frac{\rho+2}{\rho+1} \frac{C+C^{2}}{2} \leq C+C^{2}
$$

## Second queue: skipping of the passive arrivals

$\tau_{2 \mid 1}$ coupling time of 2 from $\tau_{1}$

- $n_{i}^{0}$ number of arrivals up to time $i$;
- $n_{i}^{q}$ number of services of queue $q$ up to time $i$;


## In the first queue: $x_{0}$ state at $\tau_{1}$

$$
x_{i}=x_{0}+n_{i}^{0}-n_{i}^{1} \leq C \quad \text { and } \quad n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{0} \leq n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{1}+C
$$

## Second queue: skipping of the passive arrivals

$\tau_{2 \mid 1}$ coupling time of 2 from $\tau_{1}$

- $n_{i}^{0}$ number of arrivals up to time $i$;
- $n_{i}^{q}$ number of services of queue $q$ up to time $i$;

In the first queue: $x_{0}$ state at $\tau_{1}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{i}=x_{0}+n_{i}^{0}-n_{i}^{1} \leq C \quad \text { and } \quad n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{0} \leq n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{1}+C \\
\mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{2 \mid 1}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{0}+n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{1}+n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{2}\right] \leq 2 \mathbb{E}\left[n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{1}+n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{2}\right]+C \leq 2\left(C+C^{2}\right)+C
\end{gathered}
$$

## Second queue: skipping of the passive arrivals

$\tau_{2 \mid 1}$ coupling time of 2 from $\tau_{1}$

- $n_{i}^{0}$ number of arrivals up to time $i$;
- $n_{i}^{q}$ number of services of queue $q$ up to time $i$;

In the first queue: $x_{0}$ state at $\tau_{1}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{i}=x_{0}+n_{i}^{0}-n_{i}^{1} \leq C \quad \text { and } \quad n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{0} \leq n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{1}+C \\
\mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{2 \mid 1}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{0}+n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{1}+n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{2}\right] \leq 2 \mathbb{E}\left[n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{1}+n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{2}\right]+C \leq 2\left(C+C^{2}\right)+C
\end{gathered}
$$

Coupling time of the tandem

$$
E[\tau]=\mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{1}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{2 \mid 1}\right] \leq 4 C+3 C^{2}
$$

## Second queue: skipping of the passive arrivals

$\tau_{2 \mid 1}$ coupling time of 2 from $\tau_{1}$

- $n_{i}^{0}$ number of arrivals up to time $i$;
- $n_{i}^{q}$ number of services of queue $q$ up to time $i$;


## In the first queue: $x_{0}$ state at $\tau_{1}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{i}=x_{0}+n_{i}^{0}-n_{i}^{1} \leq C \quad \text { and } \quad n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{0} \leq n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{1}+C \\
\mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{2 \mid 1}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{0}+n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{1}+n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{2}\right] \leq 2 \mathbb{E}\left[n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{1}+n_{\tau_{2 \mid 1}}^{2}\right]+C \leq 2\left(C+C^{2}\right)+C
\end{gathered}
$$

Coupling time of the tandem

$$
E[\tau]=\mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{1}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{2 \mid 1}\right] \leq 4 C+3 C^{2}
$$

Without skipping, we have $\mathbb{E}[\tau]=O\left(C^{2} \rho\right)$.

## Performance
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Simple Tree Network
All queues have capacity 100


## Performance



Simple Tree Network
The network has 100 leaves


## Performance

Arbitrary Jackson Network
All queues have capacity 100



